Nutrition 75-76 (2020) 110758



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Nutrition

journal homepage: www.nutritionjrnl.com

Applied nutritional investigation

# Severity of obesity is associated with worse cardiometabolic risk profile in adolescents: Findings from a Brazilian national study (ERICA)



NUTRITION

Mariana Sbaraini M.D.<sup>a,\*</sup>, Felipe Vogt Cureau Ph.D.<sup>a</sup>, Karen Sparrenberger Ph.D.<sup>b</sup>, Gabriela Heiden Teló M.D., Ph.D.<sup>b</sup>, Maria Cristina Caetano Kuschnir M.D., Ph.D.<sup>c</sup>, Juliana Souza Oliveira Ph.D.<sup>d</sup>, Vanessa Sá Leal Ph.D.<sup>d</sup>, Katia Vergetti Bloch M.D., Ph.D.<sup>e</sup>, Beatriz D. Schaan M.D., Ph.D.<sup>a,b,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Saúde: Cardiologia e Ciências Cardiovasculares, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Médicas: Endocrinologia, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil <sup>c</sup> Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Médicas, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Centro Acadêmico de Vitória, Vitória de Santo Antão, PE, Brazil

<sup>e</sup> Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFR]), Instituto de Estudos em Saúde Coletiva (IESC), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

<sup>f</sup> Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

## ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 6 September 2019 Received in revised form 11 January 2020 Accepted 19 January 2020

Keywords: Pediatric obesity Severe obesity Metabolic syndrome Cardiovascular diseases

# ABSTRACT

Objective: The prevalence of obesity and severe obesity among adolescents has increased dramatically in developing countries. However, the distribution of cardiometabolic risk factors through the severity of obesity continuum is relatively unknown among youth. The aim of this study was to evaluate the association of weight categories with cardiometabolic risk factors among Brazilian adolescents.

Methods: ERICA (The Study of Cardiovascular Risk in Adolescents) was a multicenter, school-based, cross-sectional study composed of Brazilian adolescents (12-17 y of age). Severity of obesity was classified according to the International Obesity Task Force reference values for body mass index (BMI) and several cardiometabolic risk factors were measured after clinical and biochemical exams and categorized using standard definitions of abnormal values.

Results: Among the 37 892 adolescents enrolled, 8708 had excess weight, being classified with overweight (17.2%), obesity (5.6%), and severe obesity (1.3%). Increasing severity of obesity was associated with a worse cardiometabolic profile in the overall sample. Multivariable models that controlled for age, sex, skin color, socioeconomic status, physical activity, and total energy intake, showed that individuals in higher categories of severity of obesity tended to have higher prevalence ratios of most cardiometabolic risk factors compared with the other weight groups, except for high fasting blood glucose among boys.

Conclusions: Progressive degrees of excess weight are positively associated with cardiometabolic risk factors in youth from a middle-income country, indicating the importance in classifying the severity of weight excess among adolescents and considering this to plan prevention programs against early development of obesity-related diseases.

Introduction

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### Brazilian Ministry of Health (Department of Science and Technology), Brazilian Ministry of Science and Technology (Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos [FINEP 01090421]), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: 565037/2010-2, 405009/2012-7 and 457050/2013-6). This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

The data sets generated or analyzed during the present study are not publicly available due to issues in making them accessible online, such as storage difficulties. However, the data sets are available on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

\*Corresponding author: Tel.: +55 51 33085604.

E-mail address: marisbaraini@gmail.com (M. Sbaraini).

## Obesity in children and adolescents has exponentially increased in the last decades, rising from 0.8% in 1975 to >6.8% in 2016 [1]. The same tendency can be seen in Brazil, where the prevalence of overweight and obesity in adolescents has increased six times in boys (4 to 28%) and three times in girls (8 to 23%) since the 1970s [2,3]. Severe obesity is the fastest growing subcategory of excess weight in adolescents, reaching >4% of all youth in the United States [4].

Weight excess in youth can lead to the development of many cardiovascular risk factors that, if not early controlled, tend to persist and increase the risk for early cardiovascular disease in adult life [5–7]. Cardiometabolic risk factors such as abnormal glucose levels, dyslipidemia, and hypertension are more prevalent in obese adolescents than in those with normal weight [8,9]. These conditions are associated with higher risk for coronary heart disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, and all-cause mortality in adulthood [10]. However, weight reduction can significantly change these outcomes [11].

Data from a systematic review showed that the risk for all-cause mortality in adults with severe obesity (class II and III) is higher than in those with overweight or class I obesity [12]. In adolescents classified within the obese category, there is a variety of risks accompanying increases in body mass index (BMI); however, this association is still being investigated [11,13–17]. In the United States, results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999/2012, which included 8579 children and adolescents with excessive weight, showed that having severe obesity lead to an increased risk for low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), high systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP, respectively), and high levels of triglycerides (TGs) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) [13]. Furthermore, differences by age, sex, and ethnicity were also observed in the relation between severity of obesity and cardiometabolic risk in youth [13,16].

In some low- and middle-income countries where quick nutritional transitions were observed in the last decades [18,19], the severity of obesity and its associated comorbidities among young people were insufficiently explored. Investigating the association between severity of obesity and cardiometabolic risk factors in Brazil can help us identify the individuals in higher risk groups and develop public health strategies against obesity-related outcomes early in life, which would apply to other low- and middle-income countries. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the association of severity of obesity and known cardiometabolic risk factors in a representative sample of Brazilian adolescents.

#### Methods

#### Design and sample

ERICA (The Study of Cardiovascular Risk in Adolescents [*Estudo de Riscos Cardiovasculares em Adolescentes*]) was a multicenter, school-based, national cross-sectional study carried out in urban and rural settings in Brazil. The sample was composed of students between 12 and 17 y of age enrolled in private and public schools in Brazilian municipalities with  $\geq 100000$  inhabitants. Data were collected between February 2013 and November 2014.

A complex sampling approach was performed. Thus, the population target was divided into 32 geographic strata: all 26 state capitals, the Federal District, and 5 more strata representing other municipalities with  $\geq$ 100 000 inhabitants in each region of Brazil. The schools were selected based on probability proportional to size (number of students per school) and inversely proportional to the distance between the school municipality and the state capital. In all, 1247 schools in 124 municipalities were selected. Three classrooms were randomly selected from each school, and all students in these classes were invited to participate in ERICA. Further details regarding the sampling and design of the ERICA project can be found in previous publications [20,21]. The participation rate for adolescents who completed the questionnaires, anthropometric measures, and blood sampling in ERICA was 52% [22].

In summary, ERICA's sample size calculation was performed considering that the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in adolescents is around 4%, a maximum estimation error of 0.9% and a 95% confidence level, the required size for a simple random sample would be 1821 students. However, considering that the sample is clustered by school, shift, grade, and class, a design effect of 2.97 was considered. Additionally, sample size was increased by 15% considering possible non-response and other losses. Finally, the calculation showed that it was necessary to enroll 6219 adolescents in each of the 12 domains (6 ages  $\times$  2 sexes), resulting in a total sample size of  $\geq$ 74 628 adolescents [20].

For this study, we used data from students who attended school during the morning (925 schools), as overnight fasting was mandatory for the blood sampling. The final available sample size for this study was 37 892. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees in all 27 federation units in Brazil. All adolescents and their legal guardians provided written informed assent/consent to participate in the study.

#### Severity of obesity

Body mass index (weight [kg]/height [m<sup>2</sup>]) was used to assess the severity of obesity. Weight and height were measured using a digital scale and a portable stadiometer, respectively. Both measures were taken with adolescents wearing light clothing and no shoes. The assessed anthropometric measures were performed following standard practices [23]. The severity of obesity was defined according to sex and age-specific cutoff points recommended by the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) [24]. The BMI IOTF cutoffs for youth were calculated to represent BMI centile corresponding to BMI at 18 y of age (i.e., overweight  $\geq$ 25 and <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; obesity  $\geq$ 30 and <35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; and severe obesity  $\geq$ 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The IOTF-specific cutoff points of BMI for sex and age were previously published and can be accessed elsewhere [24,25].

#### Cardiometabolic risk factors

Blood pressure was verified using a digital monitor (Omron 705-IT) previously validated for use in youth [26]. Blood pressure was taken from each student's right arm using individual cuff sizes after 5 min of sitting still, with an interval of  $\geq$ 3 min between each measure. The average values of the second and third readings were used in the analyses. High blood pressure was defined as values of SBP or DBP  $\geq$ 95th percentile for sex, age, and height [27].

All participants were asked to refrain from eating for at least 10 to 12 h before blood sampling. Compliance with the overnight fast was confirmed by a questionnaire before venipuncture. Fasting blood samples were collected for measuring fasting glucose, HbA1c, insulin, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), HDL-C, and TGs. The reference values used to determine abnormal values of cardiometabolic variables are shown in Supplementary Table 1, which were in accordance with national and international guidelines [27–31]. All blood samples were analyzed by a single laboratory following a standardized protocol [32].

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was defined according to the International Diabetes Federation criteria [33]. These include a high waist circumference as a mandatory component (<16 y:  $\geq$ 90th percentile;  $\geq$ 16 y, boys:  $\geq$ 90 cm; and  $\geq$ 16 y, girls:  $\geq$ 80 cm), which was measured at midway between the iliac crest and the lower costal margin, and plus two or more of the following criteria: fasting plasma glucose (FPG)  $\geq$  100 mg/dL; SBP  $\geq$  130 mm Hg or DBP  $\geq$  85 mm Hg; TGs  $\geq$  150 mg/dL; HDL-C in adolescents <16 y: <40 mg/dL; HDL-C in adolescents <16 y: <50 mg/dL;

#### Covariates

The covariates included in the analyses were sex, age (12–17 y), and selfreported skin color (white, black, brown, or others). Socioeconomic status (SES) was assessed with a similar instrument used by the Brazilian Demographic Census [34], which takes into account possession of specific goods and the presence of a housekeeper at home. Thereafter, for some analyses, this variable was categorized in tertiles.

#### Statistical analysis

All estimates and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the ERICA's sample weights, taking into account the complex sample design and obtaining population-representative findings [20]. All the descriptive analyses were stratified by weight category (normal weight, overweight, obesity, and severe obesity). The mean values and 95% CI for cardiometabolic risk factors were estimated for the overall sample. TGs and fasting insulin do not follow a parametric distribution, thus, for this variable, median and interquartile range (IQR) are presented.

The prevalence of abnormal cardiometabolic risk factors according to the severity of obesity was calculated for overall sample and stratified by sex and age groups (12-14 and 15-17 y of age). The adjusted Wald's test for trend was calculated to evaluate the increase or decrease in the prevalence of abnormal cardiometabolic risk factors through the weight categories.

Poisson regression models were used to examine the association of severity of obesity (adolescents with normal weight were the reference group) with abnormal cardiometabolic risk factors. All models were adjusted for sex, age, skin color, SES, physical activity and total energy intake; and the inclusion of these variables was decided a priori and is in accordance with the literature [13,14,16]. These analyses were performed for overall sample and by sex.

All tests were two-tailed, and the analyses were performed in Stata version 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) taking the study design (complex sample) into account. P < 0.05 denotes statistical significance. We followed the STROBE statement to prepare this report [35].

#### Results

Among the sample of 37 892 adolescents, 8708 had weight excess, being classified with overweight (17.2%), obesity (5.6%),

## Table 1

Distribution of obesity severity and demographic and clinical characteristics among Brazilian adolescents: ERICA 2013–2014.

Characteristics	Normal weight Weighted % (95% CI)	Overweight	Obesity	Severe obesity			
Overall (n = 37 892)	75.9 (74.5–77.2)	17.2 (16.2–18.3)	5.6 (5.1-6.2)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)			
Sex							
Female	75.9 (74.0-77.8)	17.8 (16.3–19.4)	5.1 (4.5-5.7)	1.2 (0.9-1.5)			
Male	75.0 (73.0-77.7)	16.6 (15.0-18.4)	6.1 (5.3-7.0)	1.3 (1.0-1.7)			
Age (years)							
12–14	74.0 (71.4–76.5)	18.7 (17.0–20.6)	6.0 (5.2-6.9)	1.2 (0.9-1.6)			
15–17	77.6 (75.9–79.1)	15.9 (14.7–17.3)	5.2 (4.4-6.1)	1.3 (1.0-1.6)			
Skin color							
White	74.5 (72.5-76.5)	17.3 (16.0–18.7)	6.5 (5.6-7.7)	1.6 (1.2-2.2)			
Black	75.3 (69.9–80.0)	17.8 (13.3–23.5)	5.2 (3.9-7.0)	1.6 (1.0-2.6)			
Brown (mixed)	77.2 (75.3–79.1)	17.1 (15.6–18.7)	4.7 (4.0-5.5)	1.0 (0.8-1.2)			
Others	74.8 (69.3–79.5)	17.0 (14.0-20.4)	7.5 (4.1–13.3)	0.8 (0.5-1.3)			
SES (tertiles)							
First	78.8 (77.0-80.5)	14.7 (13.3–16.3)	5.5 (4.6-6.5)	1.0(0.7 - 1.4)			
Second	76.4 (73.6–78.9)	16.8 (15.1–18.6)	5.9 (4.7–7.3)	1.0 (0.8–1.3)			
Third (Higher)	71.9 (68.8–74.8)	20.8 (18.7–23.1)	5.4 (4.3-6.8)	1.9 (1.4-2.5)			
Region							
North	79.9 (78.1–81.5)	14.9 (13.6–16.4)	4.1 (3.5-4.8)	1.1 (0.8–1.5)			
Northeast	75.6 (72.9–78.2)	18.1 (16.1–20.4)	5.0 (4.3-5.8)	1.2 (0.9–1.6)			
Southeast	76.2 (73.9–78.4)	16.8 (15.1–18.7)	6.0 (5.1-7.1)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)			
South	71.5 (69.1–73.9)	19.9 (18.4–21.5)	6.0 (5.1–7.1)	2.5 (1.6-3.9)			
Midwest	78.0 (76.6–79.3)	15.7 (14.6–16.8)	5.0 (4.3–5.7)	1.4 (0.9–2.1)			
Weighted mean (95% Cl)							
Weight (kg)	52.4 (52.1-52.7)	69.1 (68.5–69.8)	83.7 (82.8-84.7)	101.9 (98.6-105.2)			
$BMI(kg/m^2)$	19.6 (19.5–19.6)	25.5 (25.4–25.5)	30.3 (30.1–30.5)	37.0 (36.4–37.6)			
Waist circumference (cm)	68.1 (67.9–68.3)	81.0 (80.6-81.4)	93.2 (92.5–93.9)	105.8 (104.3-107.3)			
SBP (mm Hg)	109.2 (108.7-109.7)	117.0 (116.3-117.7)	121.5 (120.4-122.7)	121.7 (119.6-123.8)			
DBP (mm Hg)	65.5 (65.1-65.9)	68.3 (67.8-68.9)	71.6 (70.8–72.5)	72.6 (71.2-74.0)			
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	146.8 (145.7-148.0)	151.2 (149.5-153.0)	156.1 (152.5-159.8)	160.4 (156.4-154.3)			
LDL-c (mg/dL)	83.7 (82.9-84.5)	88.9 (87.4-90.4)	94.2 (91.2-97.2)	97.4 (94.3-100.4)			
HDL-c (mg/dL)	48.3 (47.6-49.0)	45.2 (44.6-45.9)	41.5 (40.5-42.5)	38.8 (37.6-40.0)			
Triglycerides* (mg/dL)	67.0 (66.0-68.0)	76.0 (74.0-78.0)	88.0 (81.1-94.9)	113.0 (102.2-123.8)			
HbA1c (%)	5.38 (5.36-5.39)	5.39 (5.36-5.41)	5.48 (5.44-5.53)	5.52 (5.46-5.58)			
Fasting insulin* (mU/L)	7.5 (7.3–7.7))	10.8 (10,5-11.1)	15.8 (15.1–16.5)	18.5 (16.2-20.8)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)	86.0 (85.5-86.4)	87.2 (86.6-87.8)	88.2 (87.3-89.1)	89.4 (87.7-91.1)			

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HbA1c, Glycated hemoglobin; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SES, socio-economic status; SBP, systolic blood pressure, LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

\*Weighted median and interquartile range.

and severe obesity (1.3%). The mean age in the overall sample was 14.6 y (SE 0.01) and was higher in the group with severe obesity. Regarding the prevalence of each weight category, we did not observe associations with sex and age group; however, adolescents with brown (mixed) skin color showed a slightly lower prevalence of obesity and severe obesity, especially when compared with white (Table 1). Regarding the concentration levels of the cardiometabolic risk factors, HDL-C decreased and all others increased through the weight categories. Similarly to what was observed in the overall sample, when the analysis was stratified by sex, both boys and girls with higher severity of obesity showed a poor cardiometabolic profile (data not shown).

Figure 1 shows the prevalence of abnormal cardiometabolic risk factors according to the severity of obesity. There was an increase in the prevalence of higher levels for blood pressure, total and LDL-C, TGs, HbA1c, FPG, fasting insulin, and MetS with increasing severity of obesity (P < 0.01 for all). The prevalence of low HDL-C levels was proportional to increase in severity of obesity (P < 0.01).

Table 2 shows the prevalence of abnormal values for cardiometabolic risk factors by sex and age group. The prevalence of most risk factors increased by severity of obesity in both sexes; however, this association was not significant for high FPG in boys. Boys in all weight categories had a significantly higher prevalence of low HDL-C than girls. Girls with normal weight and overweight, compared with boys in the same respective weight category, had higher prevalence of abnormal levels of total and LDL-C, but lower levels of elevated HbAc and high blood pressure. Difference in prevalence between sexes in the obesity and severe obesity groups were discreet. When the data was analyzed by age, increasing severity of obesity was correlated with higher presence of all cardiometabolic risk factors in both age groups, except for FPG in adolescents 12 to 14 y of age.

Excluding adolescents with normal weight (in which <0.1% had MetS), the prevalence of MetS increased along with severity of obesity in both sex and age categories (data not shown). Overall, the prevalence of MetS was 4.7% (95% Cl, 3.7-5.9%), 23.8 (95% Cl, 19.6-28.6%), and 30.5% (23.3-38.8%) among adolescents with overweight, obesity, and severe obesity, respectively.

Table 3 shows the prevalence ratios for the abnormal cardiometabolic risk factors adjusted for sex, age, skin color, SES, physical activity level, and total energy intake. Prevalence ratios of the majority of cardiometabolic risk factors tended to increase with higher severity of obesity in the overall sample. Among sexes, the same association was found, except for high FPG in boys ( $P_{trend} = 0.579$ ). Prevalence of MetS, compared with adolescents with overweight, was significantly higher among those with obesity or severe obesity in the overall sample and in both sexes.

## Discussion

The present study, involving 37 892 Brazilian youth, showed that severe obesity should be considered a public health concern



Fig. 1. Prevalence of abnormal cardiometabolic risk factors according to the severity of obesity. ERICA 2013–2014. BP, blood pressure; FG, fasting glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

owing to the observed prevalence and suggests that there is a positive association between severity of obesity and worst cardiometabolic profile in this age group. In 2013, the American Heart Association released a scientific statement recommending the division of childhood obesity into class I, II, and III [15]. It was justified by greater precision for categorizing this population and better identification of individuals with higher number of cardiovascular risk factors. However, the Brazilian Ministry of Health still recommends stratification of childhood obesity in only two classes, following the World Health Organization's 2007 reference [36,37].

Our adjusted models showed that increasing weight excess severity tends to be accompanied with a concomitant rise in the prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors. However, in other populations, such as in high-income countries, obesity-related cardiometabolic risk factors can differ. A Korean study with 1326 adolescents showed a correlation with obesity level for all studied variables except FPG and DBP [14]. On the other hand, in North American children and adolescents, only SBP, HDL-C, and FPG levels were related to severity of obesity [13]. These divergent results may be explained by different cutoffs for abnormal values used in both studies, a much broader age inclusion criteria (3-19 y) in the study from the United States, and differences in the socioeconomic parameters of the populations. It can also be related to specific diet patterns and sugar consumption in each region-countries from the Americas have the highest intake of sugar-sweetened beverages; whereas those from Asia have the lowest [38].

In ERICA, the prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors increased with obesity class in both sexes, except for FPG in boys. Low HDL-C was more prevalent in boys than in girls across all weight categories, just as elevated blood pressure and high Hb1Ac. Girls tended to have more elevated fasting insulin than boys.

Furthermore, in the present study, differences between sexes were more prominent in the normal weight and overweight groups; whereas in those with obesity and severe obesity, they were subtle. Data regarding these associations by sex are still controversial. During puberty, owing to changes in hormonal levels, body fat percentage increases in girls and decreases in boys [39]. A study with Italian youth with obesity showed that girls were more insulin resistant and had higher body fat percentage and lower lean body percentage than boys, independently of Tanner stage [40]. Previous studies also showed that Brazilian girls are more physically inactive [41], eat snacks more frequently in front of the television [42], and have diets containing higher levels of sugar than boys [43], all factors that could contribute to higher insulin resistance in this group.

A graded relationship between severity of obesity and unfavorable cardiometabolic profile were found in participants on both age groups (12–14 and 15–17 y), without a significant difference between them. Our findings disagree with those of Lambert et al., in which older adolescents were more likely to have higher number of cardiometabolic risk factors compared with those younger, especially boys [44]; however, only 9-, 13-, and 16-y-old youth were included in the study, and obesity was used as a single category, not divided into classes of BMI. Prior work has also shown that older adolescents were more likely to have MetS and its components than their younger counterparts, mostly owing to the expected increase of visceral fat deposits with age [45]. Disproportions of pubertal stages among groups, independently of age, could help explain these differences.

It is important to consider that obesity and its associated comorbidities are a considerable burden for health systems around the world. A systematic review of 12 studies from the United States estimated an annual medical expenditure per person with obesity of

# Table 2

Prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors by severity of obesity and stratified by sex and age: ERICA 2013–2014.

Characteristics	Normal weight Weighted % (95% CI)	Overweight	Obesity	Severe obesity	P value	
High blood pressure Sex						
Female	5.0 (4.1-6.2)	12.4 (10.4–14.7)	23.3 (16.5-31.7)	31.0 (20.5-43.9)	< 0.001	
Male	7.5 (6.6-8.6)	22.0 (18.1–26.5)	37.5 (29.7-45.9)	33.0 (22.4-45.6)	< 0.001	
Age (years)						
12–14	6.5(5.4-7.8)	15.5(13.2 - 18.2)	32.2 (25.6-39.6)	28.9(18.5-42.0)	< 0.001	
15–17	6.1(5.0-7.4)	18.5 (15.3–22.3)	29.8(23.1-37.5)	34.7 (24.6-46.5)	< 0.001	
High total cholesterol						
Sex						
Female	23.8 (22.2-25.5)	28.9(25.3 - 32.9)	30.4(23.6 - 38.2)	30.8(23.8-38.9)	< 0.001	
Male	12.6(11.1-14.2)	198(165-235)	348(285-417)	32.9(22.3-45.6)	< 0.001	
Age (years)	12.0 (11.1 11.2)	15.0 (10.5 25.5)	51.5 (20.5 11.7)	32.3 (22.3 13.0)	<0.001	
12-14	189(171-208)	246(214-281)	329(270-395)	336(221-474)	<0.001	
15 17	176(159, 196)	24.0(21.4-20.1) 24.5(21.6-27.7)	32.7 (263 397)	305(22.1-47.4) 305(231-391)	< 0.001	
High I DL-C	17.0 (15.5–15.0)	24.3 (21.0-27.7)	52.7 (20.5-55.7)	50.5 (25.1-55.1)	<0.001	
Sev						
Female	35(28-45)	65(46-93)	81(53-121)	105(65-164)	~0.001	
Male	20(14-27)	34(26-44)	10.7(7.3-15.5)	121(63-219)	< 0.001	
	2.0(1.4-2.7)	5.4 (2.0-4.4)	10.7 (7.5-15.5)	12.1 (0.3–21.5)	<0.001	
12 14	28(20.20)	50(25 72)	102(67 152)	112(55 214)	-0.001	
12-14	2.0(2.0-3.3)	5.0(3.5-7.2)	88(60, 128)	11.2(3.3-21.4) 11.5(7.2, 17.9)	< 0.001	
IOW HDL-C	2.7 (2.2-3.3)	5.0 (5.0-7.0)	8.8 (0.0-12.8)	11.5 (7.5–17.8)	< 0.001	
Sov						
Female	329(30/ 356)	480 (448 512)	594(512,671)	71 9 (63 5 78 9)	<0.001	
Malo	52.9(30.4-53.0)	626(599,691)	772(712,924)	260(720 025)	< 0.001	
	51.5 (45.4-54.5)	05.0 (58.8-08.1)	77.5 (71.5-82.4)	80.9 (78.0-92.3)	< 0.001	
Age (years)	280(258,421)	EE 2 (EO 1 60 2)	744(696, 705)	822(740,808)	.0.001	
12-14	50.9 (55.0-42.1)	55.5 (50.1-60.5)	74.4(00.0-79.5)	65.5 (74.0-69.6) 77.2 (CO.C. 62.4)	< 0.001	
ID-I/ Wigh trighteerides	45.3 (42.4–48.2)	55.7 (51.9-59.6)	63.9(57.1-70.1)	77.3 (69.6–83.4)	<0.001	
Sov						
Fomalo	67(59 79)	111(02 121)	155(117, 204)	270(202,271)	-0.001	
Mala	(0.7(3.0-7.0))	11.1(9.5-15.1) 125(112, 162)	15.5(11.7-20.4)	27.9(20.2-57.1)	<0.001	
	4.4 (5.5-5.7)	15.5 (11.2–10.5)	25.9(19.2-29.5)	50.5 (24.7-49.8)	<0.001	
Age (years)		12.9 (10.9 15.1)	107(140, 25.6)	20 4 (20 2 5 4 2)	0.001	
12-14	5.7(4.8-6.7)	12.8(10.8-15.1)	19.7(14.9-25.6)	39.4(20.3-54.2)	< 0.001	
15-17	5.4 (4.4-6.7)	11.0 (9.5–14.2)	20.0 (10.0-20.0)	20.4 (19.0-35.5)	<0.001	
Fomala	166(150, 194)	172(148, 201)	20.4(22.0, 26.8)	20.0(21.2, 28.2)	.0.001	
Mala	10.0(13.0-16.4)	17.5(14.0-20.1)	29.4(23.0-30.6)	29.0(21.3-36.5)	< 0.001	
	22.7 (20.8–24.8)	24.5 (20.1–29.5)	52.0 (24.7-40.5)	51.5 (20.9–44.2)	0.010	
Age (years)	22.1 (20.0. 24.2)	22.0(10.5, 27.2)	25.9 (20.2 42.9)	22.2 (21.4.45.2)	0.001	
12-14	22.1(20.0-24.3)	22.0(18.3-27.2)	35.8(29.3-42.8)	32.2 (21.4-45.3)	< 0.001	
ID-I/	17.7 (16.1–19.4)	18.9(14.8–23.8)	25.9 (19.5–33.4)	28.6 (20.6–38.3)	0.002	
Sex	se					
Female	2.2 (1.7-2.9)	2.7 (1.8-3.8)	8.7 (4.1-17.6)	9.3 (3.4-22.9)	< 0.001	
Male	5.5 (4.3-7.2)	5.1 (3.5-7.4)	7.1 (3.7–13.0)	4.7 (2.0-11.0)	0.802	
Age (years)						
12–14	4.7 (3.7-6.0)	3.4 (2.4-4.7)	8.5 (3.7-16.1)	8.4 (3.7-18.1)	0.179	
15–17	3.1 (2.4–4.1)	4.3 (2.9-6.4)	7.1 (3.5–14.0)	5.6 (2.3-12.9)	0.015	
High fasting insulin						
Sex						
Female	2.6 (2.0-3.4)	11.0 (8.9–13.6)	27.2 (21.7-33.5)	48.4 (37.4-59.6)	< 0.001	
Male	1.4 (1.0-2.0)	6.7 (5.0-8.8)	23.8 (18.3–30.3)	38.2 (26.7-51.2)	< 0.001	
Age (years)	. ,	. ,	. ,			
12–14	2.3 (1.8-3.0)	10.6 (8.4–13.1)	25.7 (19.5-32.9)	41.4 (28.8-55.3)	< 0.001	
15-17	1.8 (1.3-2.5)	7.2 (5.5–9.4)	25.0 (19.8-31.0)	44.0 (33.5-55.0)	< 0.001	

Wald's test for trends was used to obtain the *P* values presented.

HbA1c, Glycated hemoglobin; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

\$1901 in 2014 USD, reaching nationally almost \$150 billion [46]. In Brazil, studies have shown a yearly cost of diseases related with overweight and obesity of \$2.1 billion, in which >40% were due to cardiovascular diseases and diabetes [47]. Considering that most children and adolescents with obesity remain in the same BMI category in adulthood, early strategies to prevent this disease and its related costs are usually cost-effective and should be stimulated [48,49].

Treatment options for adolescents with severe obesity are initially based on lifestyle modifications, such as adhering to a healthy diet and increasing physical activity. However, this kind of intervention provides discrete short-term changes in weight, and individuals usually remain in the same BMI category [15]. Other treatments for obesity in adolescents involve drugs (such as orlistat and sibutramine in Brazil) and bariatric surgery [50]. Although effective in weight loss and improvement of cardiometabolic risk factors, long-term effects of this procedure on morbimortality of adolescents are still unknown, owing to the short follow-up time in previous studies. Due to the challenging aspects of treating adolescents with any class of obesity, it is important to establish preventive actions during childhood, finding new ways to promote

## Table 3

Adjusted\* prevalence ratios for cardiometabolic risk factors by severity of obesity and sex in adolescents: ERICA 2013–2014.

Risk factors	Overall	Girls	Boys
	PR (95% CI)	PR (95% CI)	PR (95% CI)
High blood pressure	<i>P</i> < 0.001	P < 0.001	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	2.8 (2.3–3.5)	2.5 (2.0–3.3)	3.0 (2.3–3.9)
Obesity	4.8 (3.9–6.0)	4.7 (3.3–6.8)	4.9 (3.9–6.3)
Severe obesity	5.2 (3.7–7.1)	6.3 (3.0–10.1)	4.5 (3.0–6.8)
<b>High total cholesterol</b>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	1.3 (1.2–1.5)	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	1.5 (1.3-1.9)
Obesity	1.8 (1.6–2.1)	1.3 (1.0–1.6)	2.8 (2.2-3.4)
Severe obesity	1.7 (1.4–2.2)	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	2.4 (1.6-3.5)
<b>High LDL-c</b>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	1.8 (1.3–2.6)	2.0 (1.3–3.1)	1.8 (1.2–2.6)
Obesity	3.5 (2.5–4.8)	2.4 (1.5–3.8)	5.3 (3.5–8.1)
Severe obesity	4.1 (2.6–6.4)	3.3 (1.9–5.8)	5.9 (3.0–11.8)
<b>Low HDL-c</b>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	1.3 (1.3–1.4)	1.5 (1.3–1.6)	1.3 (1.2–1.3)
Obesity	1.6 (1.5–1.8)	1.8 (1.6–2.1)	1.5 (1.4–1.7)
Severe obesity	2.0 (1.8–2.1)	2.2 (1.9–2.5)	1.8 (1.6–2.0)
<b>High triglycerides</b>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	2.2 (1.8–2.7)	1.6 (1.2–2.1)	3.2 (2.3–4.3)
Obesity	3.7 (3.0–4.6)	2.4 (1.8–3.2)	5.7 (4.2–7.9)
Severe obesity	5.9 (4.4–7.9)	4.4 (3.1–6.1)	8.7 (5.6–13.9)
<b>High HbA1c</b>	P <0.001	P < 0.001	P = 0.008
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	1.1 (0.9–1.2)	1.0 (0.9–1.2)	1.1 (0.9–1.2)
Obesity	1.6 (1.4–1.9)	1.8 (1.4–2.2)	1.5 (1.2–2.0)
Severe obesity	1.6 (1.2–2.0)	1.8 (1.3–2.5)	1.4 (0.9–2.0)
High fasting plasma glucose Normal weight Overweight Obesity Severe obesity	P = 0.003 Reference 1.0 (0.8–1.4) 2.0 (1.2–3.4) 1.9 (0.9–3.9)	P < 0.001 Reference 1.2 (0.8–1.7) 3.8 (1.8–8.0) 4.4 (1.7–11.4)	P = 0.579 Reference 1.0 (0.7–1.5) 1.3 (0.7–2.7) 1.0 (0.4–2.3)
<b>High fasting insulin</b>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Overweight	4.4 (3.5–5.6)	4.1 (3.1–5.5)	4.8 (3.1–7.3)
Obesity	12.7 (9.9-16.3)	10.2 (7.8-13.4)	17.7 (11.6–26.8)
Severe obesity	21.5 (15.6–29.6)	17.8 (11.6–27.4)	27.9 (17.2–45.2)
<b>Metabolic syndrome</b>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Normal weight	NI	NI	NI
Overweight	Reference	Reference	Reference
Obesity	5.20 (3.78–7.14)	3.91 (2.33–6.57)	6.21 (4.09–9.44)
Severe obesity	6.85 (4.82–9.72)	6.33 (3.65–10.9)	7.88 (4.65–13.4)

\*Weighted Poisson regression models adjusted for sex, age, skin color, socioeconomic status, physical activity and total energy intake; Wald's test for trends was used to obtain the *P* values presented.HbA1c, Glycated hemoglobin; HDL-c, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NI, not informed; PR, prevalence ratios.

change of unhealthy habits; or even during intrauterine life, providing favorable conditions for fetal development [51].

The present study has some potential limitations. Because it is a cross-sectional study, it is not possible to establish a causal relationship between severity of weight excess and cardiometabolic risk factors. However, considering previous studies [52], it is acceptable to think that an increase in adiposity leads to a worse cardiometabolic profile, rather than the opposite. Smaller numbers of participants in the obesity and severe obesity groups (5.6 and 1.3% of the sample, respectively) could have led to imprecision, especially when subdividing in sex or age for subgroup analysis. Also, we did not plan to stratify adolescents by pubertal status,

which has a known effect on metabolism changes such as glucose homeostasis and could account for differences in cardiovascular variables [16]. Nevertheless, the reliability of this self-referenced information is low, and it is reasonable to assume that age could be used as a surrogate because it accompanies puberty stage.

The main strength of our findings resides in the large, multiethnic, representative national sample of adolescents enrolled from a middle-income country. Data collection, anthropometric measures, and biochemical analysis were standardized and followed a specific protocol [21]. Regression models were adjusted for several possible confounding factors, and maintained the association between severity of obesity and worsening of cardiometabolic profile. Adolescents were also stratified in two classes of obesity rather than one, and results were compared with the normal weight group, further reinforcing the parallel of increasing cardiometabolic risk factors with severity of obesity.

## Conclusion

Prevalence of obesity in adolescence has been increasing exponentially in the past few years, emerging as an alarming health problem globally. This study is the first representative study with Brazilian adolescents showing that abnormal levels in biomarkers of cardiometabolic risk, such as lipids and glucose profile, appear to increase with severity of obesity. Worsening of cardiometabolic profile accompanying BMI categories in adolescents from low- and middle- income countries indicate the need to stratify this population further and highlight the importance of public health strategies to stop progression to higher obesity classes and associated health problems.

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Mariana Sbaraini: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Felipe Vogt Cureau: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing - review & editing. Karen Sparrenberger: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. Gabriela Heiden Teló: Writing - review & editing. Maria Cristina Caetano Kuschnir: Writing - review & editing. Juliana Souza Oliveira: Writing - review & editing. Vanessa Sá Leal: Writing review & editing. Katia Vergetti Bloch: Supervision, Writing review & editing. Beatriz D. Schaan: Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

## Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.nut.2020.110758.

## References

- World Health Organization. Prevalence of obesity among children and adolescents. 2016. http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk\_factors/overweight\_obesity/ obesity\_adolescents/en/.
- [2] Wang Y, Monteiro C, Popkin BM. Trends of obesity and underweight in older children and adolescents in the United States, Brazil, China, and Russia. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;75:971–7.
- [3] Bloch KV, Klein CH, Szklo M, Kuschnir MC, Abreu Gde A, Barufaldi LA, et al. ERICA: prevalences of hypertension and obesity in Brazilian adolescents. Rev Saude Publica 2016;50(Suppl 1):9s.
- [4] Skelton JA, Cook SR, Auinger P, Klein JD, Barlow SE. Prevalence and Trends of Severe Obesity among US Children and Adolescents. Acad Pediatr 2009;9:322–9.
- [5] Franks PW, Hanson RL, Knowler WC, Sievers ML, Bennett PH, Looker HC. Childhood obesity, other cardiovascular risk factors, and premature death. N Engl J Med 2010;362:485–93.

- [6] NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. Lancet 2017;390:2627–42.
- [7] The NS, Suchindran C, North KE, Popkin BM, Gordon-Larsen P. Association of adolescent obesity with risk of severe obesity in adulthood. JAMA 2010;304:2042–7.
- [8] Motlagh ME, Qorbani M, Rafiemanzelat AM, Taheri M, Aminaee T, Shafiee G, et al. Prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors in a nationally representative sample of Iranian children and adolescents: the CASPIAN-V Study. J Cardiovasc Thorac Res 2018;10:76–82.
- [9] Kuschnir MCC, Szklo M, Klein CH, Barufaldi LA, Abreu GA, Schaan BD, et al. ERICA: prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Brazilian adolescents. Rev Saude Pública 2016;50(suppl 1):11s.
- [10] Park MH, Falconer C, Viner RM, Kinra S. The impact of childhood obesity on morbidity and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review. Obes Rev 2012;13:985–1000.
- [11] Rajjo T, Almasri J, Al Nofal A, Farah W, Alsawas M, Ahmed AT, et al. The Association of Weight Loss and Cardiometabolic Outcomes in Obese Children: Systematic Review and Meta-regression. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2017;102:758– 62.
- [12] Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, Graubard BI. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2013;309:71–82.
- [13] Skinner AC, Perrin EM, Moss LA, Skelton JA. Cardiometabolic Risks and Severity of Obesity in Children and Young Adults. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1307-17.
- [14] Cho WK, Han K, Ahn MB, Park YM, Jung MH, Suh BK, et al. Metabolic risk factors in Korean adolescents with severe obesity: Results from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (K-NHANES) 2007-2014. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2018;138:169–76.
- [15] Kelly AS, Barlow SE, Rao G, Inge TH, Hayman LL, Steinberger J, et al. Severe obesity in children and adolescents: identification, associated health risks, and treatment approaches: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2013;128:1689–712.
- [16] Zabarsky G, Beek C, Hagman E, Pierpont B, Caprio S, Weiss R. Impact of Severe Obesity on Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Youth. J Pediatr 2018;192:105–14.
- [17] Li L, Perez A, Wu LT, Ranjit N, Brown HS, Kelder SH. Cardiometabolic Risk Factors among Severely Obese Children and Adolescents in the United States, 1999-2012. Child Obes 2016;12:12–9.
- [18] Conde WL, M C. Nutrition transition and double burden of undernutrition and excess of weight in Brazil. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100:1617S–22S.
- [19] Aurino E, Fernandes M, Penny ME. The nutrition transition and adolescents' diets in low- and middle-income countries: a cross-cohort comparison. Public Health Nutrition 2016;20:72–81.
- [20] Vasconcellos MT, Silva PL, Szklo M, Kuschnir MC, Klein CH, Abreu GA, et al. Sampling design for the Study of Cardiovascular Risks in Adolescents (ERICA). Cad Saude Publica 2015;31:921–30.
- [21] Bloch KV, Szklo M, Kuschnir MCC, Abreu GA, Barufaldi LA, Klein CH, et al. The study of cardiovascular risk in adolescents – ERICA: rationale, design and sample characteristics of a national survey examining cardiovascular risk factor profile in Brazilian adolescents. BMC Public Health 2015;15:94.
- [22] Figueiredo VC, Szklo AS, Costa LC, Kuschnir MC, da Silva TL, Bloch KV, et al. ERICA: smoking prevalence in Brazilian adolescents. Rev Saude Publica 2016;50(Suppl 1):12s.
- [23] Marfell-Jones M, Olds T, Stewart A, Carter L. In: International standards for anthropometric assessment. The international society for the advancement of kinanthropometry (ISAK), Potchefsroom, South Africa; 2006.
- [24] Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. Pediatr Obes 2012;7:284–94.
- [25] Bervoets L, Massa G. Defining morbid obesity in children based on BMI 40 at age 18 using the extended international (IOTF) cut-offs. Pediatr Obes 2014;9: e94–8.
- [26] Stergiou GS, Yiannes NG, Rarra VC. Validation of the Omron 705 IT oscillometric device for home blood pressure measurement in children and adolescents: the Arsakion School Study. Blood Press Monit 2006;11:229–34.
- [27] The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2004;114:555–76.
- [28] American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. Diabetes care 2018;41:S1–2.

- [29] Xavier HT, Izar MC, Faria Neto JR, Assad MH, Rocha VZ, Sposito AC, et al. V Brazilian Guidelines on Dyslipidemias and Prevention of Atherosclerosis. Arq Bras Cardiol 2013;101:1–20.
- [30] Back Giuliano Ide C, Caramelli B, Pellanda L, Duncan B, Mattos S, Fonseca FH. [I guidelines of prevention of atherosclerosis in childhood and adolescence]. Arq Bras Cardiol 2005;85(Suppl 6):4–36.
- [31] American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 2010;33:S62–S9.
- [32] Cureau FV, Bloch KV, Henz A, Schaan CW, Klein CH, Oliveira CL, et al. Challenges for conducting blood collection and biochemical analysis in a large multicenter school-based study with adolescents: lessons from ERICA in Brazil. Cad Saude Publica 2017;33:e00122816.
- [33] Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Kaufman F, Tajima N, Silink M, Arslanian S, et al. The metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents - an IDF consensus report. Pediatr Diabetes 2007;8:299–306.
- [34] Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas (ABEP). Critério de classificação econômica Brasil. 2013. http://www.abep.org/criterio-brasil. Accessed 14th Sep 2015.
- [35] von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol 2008;61:344–9.
- [36] de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. Bull World Health Organ 2007;85:660–7.
- [37] Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Orientações para a coleta e análise de dados antropométricos em serviços de saúde: Norma Técnica do Sistema de Vigilância Alimentar e Nutricional - SISVAN. Departamento de Atenção Básica, ed. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2011.
- [38] Singh GM, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, Lim S, Andrews KG, et al. Global, Regional, and National Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Fruit Juices, and Milk: A Systematic Assessment of Beverage Intake in 187 Countries. PLoS ONE 2015;10(8):e0124845.
- [39] Rogol AD, Roemmich JN, Clark PA. Growth at puberty. J Adolesc Health 2002;31:192–200.
- [40] Brufani C, Tozzi A, Fintini D, Ciampalini P, Grossi A, Fiori R, et al. Sexual dimorphism of body composition and insulin sensitivity across pubertal development in obese Caucasian subjects. Eur J Endocrinol 2009;160:769–75.
- [41] Cureau FV, Silva TLN, Bloch KV, Fujimori E, Belfort DR, Carvalho KMB, et al. ERICA: leisure-time physical inactivity in Brazilian adolescents. Rev Saude Publica 2016;50.
- [42] Oliveira JS, Barufaldi LA, Abreu GA, Leal VS, Brunken GS, Vasconcelos SML, et al. ERICA: use of screens and consumption of meals and snacks by Brazilian adolescents. Rev Saude Publica 2016;50.
- [43] Souza AM, Barufaldi LA, Abreu GA, Giannini DT, Oliveira CLd, Santos MMd, et al. ERICA: intake of macro and micronutrients of Brazilian adolescents. Rev Saude Publica 2016;50.
- [44] Lambert M, Delvin EE, Levy E, O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Barnett T, et al. Prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors by weight status in a population-based sample of Quebec children and adolescents. Can J Cardiol 2008;24:575–83.
- [45] Dos Santos MC, de Castro Coutinho APC, de Souza Dantas M, Yabunaka LAM, Guedes DP, Oesterreich SA. Correlates of metabolic syndrome among young Brazilian adolescents population. Nutr J 2018;17:66.
- [46] Kim DD, Basu A. Estimating the Medical Care Costs of Obesity in the United States: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Empirical Analysis. Value Health 2016;19:602–13.
- [47] Bahia L, Coutinho ES, Barufaldi LA, Abreu GA, Malhao TA, de Souza CP, et al. The costs of overweight and obesity-related diseases in the Brazilian public health system: cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2012;12:440.
- [48] Sonntag D, Ali S, De Bock F. Lifetime indirect cost of childhood overweight and obesity: A decision analytic model. Obesity 2016;24:200–6.
- [49] Sharifi M, Franz C, Horan CM, Giles CM, Long MW, Ward ZJ, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of a Clinical Childhood Obesity Intervention. Pediatrics 2017;140.
- [50] Beamish AJ, Reinehr T. Should bariatric surgery be performed in adolescents? Eur J Endocrinol 2017;176:D1–15.
- [51] Kelishadi R, Mirmoghtadaee P, Najafi H, Keikha M. Systematic review on the association of abdominal obesity in children and adolescents with cardio-metabolic risk factors. J Res Med Sci 2015;20:294–307.
- [52] Boone-Heinonen J, Messer L, Andrade K, Takemoto E. Connecting the Dots in Childhood Obesity Disparities: A Review of Growth Patterns from Birth to Pre-Adolescence. Curr Epidemiol Rep 2016;3:113–24.